

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 2618-01  
Bill No.: SB 1025  
Subject: Roads & Highways; Department of Transportation  
Type: Original  
Date: March 21, 2002

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
None	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
None	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

---

**FISCAL ANALYSIS**

**ASSUMPTION**

Officials with the **Department of Transportation** stated that they were uncertain of the fiscal effects of the proposal.

They are uncertain of how the parts of the proposal authorizing local governments to allow outdoor advertising signs. If the local government-approved signs must comply with the requirements of Chapter 226 concerning location, spacing and lighting, then the proposal would have no fiscal impact. If not, then there is a possibility that Missouri would be out of compliance with federal laws on effective control of outdoor advertising. And that lack of compliance could result in withholding of federal funds.

They are also uncertain if the declaration of nonconforming signs in this proposal would supercede the declaration of conforming for signs existing before August 28, 1999 in section 226.540.

**Oversight** notes: 1) that the proposal specifically does not require expenditure of state funds; therefore, any expenditures would become budget decision items, and 2) possible effects on federal funds would be determined later.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

**FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business**

This proposal will have a direct fiscal impact on small businesses operating in the outdoor advertising industry. Additionally, small businesses utilizing outdoor advertising could be impacted by the limited availability of billboards.

## DESCRIPTION

This proposal would stop new billboard construction adjacent to interstate and primary highways after the effective date of the proposal. However, on-site and official traveler information/tourist and landmark signs would not be affected under the act.

Existing billboards would be treated as nonconforming signs but could be maintained according to Chapter 226 and Highway and Transportation administrative rules.

The proposal would allow local governments to permit signs providing the advertising does not exceed 100 square feet in size.

This proposal would not create any obligation for spending of state funds.

The proposal would expire on January 1, 2008.

The proposal contains an emergency clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Transportation

NOT RESPONDING: City of Kansas City; City of Saint Louis



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Acting Director  
March 21, 2002