

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0132-01
Bill No.: SB 145
Subject: Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Department of Public Safety
Type: Original
Date: January 15, 2003

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
None			
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
None			
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
None			
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Local Government	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources, Department of Corrections,** and the **Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Highway Patrol** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Department of Conservation (MDC)** assume the proposal would have significant impact on MDC funds since it would require reporting of every stop of a motor vehicle or a pedestrian by a Conservation Agent. Conservation Agents make approximately 225,000 stops annually. The amount of impact is unknown.

Oversight assumes current law requires peace officers to report certain information concerning each stop of a driver of a motor vehicle for a violation of a traffic law or ordinance. The proposal would require such information for each stop of a motor vehicle or pedestrian, regardless of the purpose of the stop. Therefore, Oversight assumes the Department of Conservation could implement the proposed legislation with existing resources.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Springfield Police Department** assume the proposal would result in additional officer time, estimated to cost \$10,000 per fiscal year; additional computer program changes, estimated to cost \$5,000 per fiscal year; additional training time, estimated to cost \$10,000 per fiscal year; and additional printing expenses, estimated to cost \$1,000 per fiscal year. In addition, the loss of officer time to do mandated reporting would take away traffic enforcement time, resulting in ticket revenue losses of an unknown amount.

Officials from the **Jefferson City Police Department** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact. However, in response to a proposal from last year's session, officials assumed, because of a myriad of reasons, an officer might conduct a vehicle stop not classified as a traffic violation, but as criminal (i.e. wanted person, stolen vehicle, attempt to locate, trespassing, etc.), the number of stops and costs to implement is unknown. The costs to implement would include clerical and administrative personnel to tabulate and data enter, software creation or purchase, and additional officer time at every stop.

Officials from the from the **St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department (StLMPD)** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact. However, in response to a proposal from a previous session, officials assumed the proposal would require additional costs for their agency to implement. The StLMPD estimates that additional reports for stopping a motor vehicle outside of a violation of a motor vehicle statute are estimated at over 50,500 per year. The StLMPD estimated the additional time requirements at the average hourly rate of police officers to total \$82,300 in additional costs.

Oversight assumes the proposal will impact local law enforcement agencies as they will be required to collect, compile, and report annually additional information to the attorney general, however, the amount of additional cost is unknown.

Officials from the **Boone County Sheriff's Department** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
<u>Costs - additional racial profiling reporting requirements</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

Currently, peace officers are required to report certain information concerning each stop of a driver of a motor vehicle for a violation of a traffic law or ordinance, including the racial identity of the person stopped, the violations alleged, the circumstances surrounding any resulting search and whether any arrest was made. This proposal requires such information for each stop of a motor vehicle or a pedestrian, regardless of the purpose of the stop.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Natural Resources
Department of Corrections
Department of Corrections
Department of Public Safety
– Missouri State Highway Patrol
Department of Conservation
Springfield Police Department

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0132-01
Bill No. SB 145
Page 5 of 5
January 15, 2003

NOT RESPONDING

Boone County Sheriff's Department
Jefferson City Police Department
St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mickey Wilson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
January 15, 2003