

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

LR No.: 0699-05
Bill No.: Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed SCS for SB 202
Subject: Fire Protection: Water Resources
Type: Original
Date: May 28, 2003

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Department of Public Safety- Fire Safety officials assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **City of Fulton** stated that the marginal cost of water is \$0. Officials assume that this proposal could have a positive impact to their city. This proposal would allow the city access to rural water supplies. Officials stated they currently provide water at no cost to fire entities during times of emergencies. Officials assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **Kansas City Manager's Office** stated this proposal would have little or no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **Eureka Fire Protection District** assume no fiscal impact.

Officials of the **City of Rolla** stated this proposal would have minimal cost to their city. Officials stated they currently supply water for the City fire department and on occasion they provide water without compensation to rural fire departments that are outside the city. Officials stated that this proposal would limit the City's future ability to limit access to city water without compensation from the rural fire departments, but the loss would not likely exceed \$3,000 to \$5,000 annually.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials of the **City of Springfield** assume no fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes most cities and water districts currently supply water in cases of an emergency and assume no fiscal impact.

Entities not responding to requests are as follows: The Cities of : St. Joseph, Independence, Columbia, and St. Louis. The Fire Protection Districts of : Logan-Rogersville, and Raytown

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This act allows access to the water supply of a water corporation, municipality, or public water supply district for the purpose of filling mobile equipment during an emergency where life or property are in peril, regardless of non-payment.

Authorization from the affected water supply governing body is required prior to pumping of water from the system. Hard suction connections may not be used in obtaining water from a public water supply. Within fifteen days following the use of water in an emergency situation the fire protection service shall provide the governing body of the source of water an estimated amount of water utilized. Under no circumstance shall an entity be authorized to deplete a water supply to a pressure less than the minimum pounds per square inch as required by law or regulation.

Any entity which contemplates using water for emergency services must provide its personnel with adequate training. A fire protection service shall be liable for any damages caused by it to

any part of the water supply system from which water is taken.

DESCRIPTION (continued)

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety- Fire Safety
Kansas City Manager's Office
City of Fulton
Eureka Fire Protection District
City of Springfield

NOT RESPONDING

City of Columbia
City of St. Louis
City of St. Joseph
City of Independence
Raytown Fire Protection District
Logan-Rogersville Fire Protection District



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
May 28, 2003

LR No. 0699-05
Bill No. Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed SCS for SB 202
Page 5 of 4
May 28, 2003

RWB:LR:OD (12/02)