

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1449-01
Bill No.: SB 439
Subject: Sewer Districts: Services
Type: Original
Date: April 7, 2003

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Local Government	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** assume passage of this legislation will have a negative fiscal impact on Kansas City. The amount of the impact cannot be determined at this time.

Officials from the **City of St. Joseph** assume the proposal will result in court costs for litigation in the amount of \$40,000 and costs for legal agreements in the amount of \$25,000. The inability to annex some areas will result in additional losses.

Officials of the **City of Fulton** stated that this proposal would have no fiscal impact because the city has no competition. Officials assume large metropolitan areas could have fiscal impact.

Entities not responding include St. Louis Metropolitan Sewer District, Little Blue Valley Sewer District, and the Cities of Columbia, St. Charles, and Springfield

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
Cost to Municipalities			
Litigation, Legal Agreements,	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This act provides that sewer districts shall constitute the sole and continuing authority for the provision of wastewater collection and treatment within the district's corporate boundaries. The act prohibits the encroachment on the boundaries of existing sewer districts, unless there is a written cooperative agreement between the districts. Whenever any part of a territory located in a sewer district is included by annexation within the corporate limits of a municipality, and the sewer district is currently or is capable of providing wastewater collection and treatment services, the district and the municipality shall enter a cooperative agreement to provide wastewater collection and treatment services to the annexed territory. For territories annexed prior to August 28, 2003, the cooperative agreement may be developed within six months of August 28, 2003. The cooperative agreements between the municipality and district shall be filed with the Recorder of Deeds and the County Clerk of the county or counties where the district is located.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1449-01
Bill No. SB 439
Page 4 of 4
April 7, 2003

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Natural Resources
City of Fulton
Kansas City Manager's Office
City of St. Joseph

NOT RESPONDING:

Little Blue Valley Sewer District
St. Louis Metropolitan Sewer District
City of Springfield
City of Columbia
City of St. Charles



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
April 7, 2003