

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 2474-03  
Bill No.: SCS for SB 787  
Subject: Cities, Towns and Villages; Counties; Elections; Gambling.  
Type: Original  
Date: April 2, 2004

---

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>                   |                |                |                |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>  | <b>FY 2005</b> | <b>FY 2006</b> | <b>FY 2007</b> |
|   |                |                |                |
|   |                |                |                |
| <b>Total Estimated<br/>Net Effect on<br/>General Revenue<br/>Fund</b> | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     |

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>                      |                |                |                |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>  | <b>FY 2005</b> | <b>FY 2006</b> | <b>FY 2007</b> |
|   |                |                |                |
|   |                |                |                |
| <b>Total Estimated<br/>Net Effect on <u>Other</u><br/>State Funds</b> | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     |

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>                  |                |                |                |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>  | <b>FY 2005</b> | <b>FY 2006</b> | <b>FY 2007</b> |
|   |                |                |                |
|   |                |                |                |
| <b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b> | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     | <b>\$0</b>     |

| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b> |                         |                         |                         |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>FUND AFFECTED</b>                       | <b>FY 2005</b>          | <b>FY 2006</b>          | <b>FY 2007</b>          |
| <b>Local Government*</b>                   | <b>\$0 or (UNKNOWN)</b> | <b>\$0 or (UNKNOWN)</b> | <b>\$0 or (UNKNOWN)</b> |

**\* Note: Election costs at a general or primary election would be minimal, however, if the question was put before the voters at a special election, the election costs could be material. Also, the question would only be put before the voters of a county if a city within that county approves excursion gambling boats.**

**FISCAL ANALYSIS**

**ASSUMPTION**

In response to a similar proposal from this year, officials from the **Missouri Gaming Commission** assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from **Jefferson County** state they perceive no know fiscal impact from the proposal.

In response to a similar proposal from this year, officials from **Stone County** estimated the cost to conduct a county-wide election to be \$24,650.

Officials from **Taney County** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** will range the fiscal impact to county governments from \$0 to (Unknown), since a county would be required to place before voters the gambling boat question only if a city within the county authorized a gambling boat. Obviously, this proposal would not have a fiscal impact

on most counties in Missouri, but could result in additional election costs to a few select

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Missouri counties. If the county referendum is held at a general or primary election, the costs would be minimal, however, if the county referendum utilizes a special election, the costs to the county could be material.

|   |                     |            |            |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|
| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u> | FY 2005<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2006    | FY 2007    |
|   | <u>\$0</u>          | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

|   |                     |         |         |
|---|---------------------|---------|---------|
| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u> | FY 2005<br>(10 Mo.) | FY 2006 | FY 2007 |
|---|---------------------|---------|---------|

**COUNTY GOVERNMENTS**

|                                 |                            |                            |                            |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| <u>Costs - election costs *</u> | \$0 or<br><u>(Unknown)</u> | \$0 or<br><u>(Unknown)</u> | \$0 or<br><u>(Unknown)</u> |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|

|   |                                    |                                    |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO<br/>COUNTY GOVERNMENTS *</b> | <b>\$0 or<br/><u>(UNKNOWN)</u></b> | <b>\$0 or<br/><u>(UNKNOWN)</u></b> | <b>\$0 or<br/><u>(UNKNOWN)</u></b> |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|

**\* Note: Election costs at a general or primary election would be minimal, however, if the question was put before the voters at a special election, the election costs could be material. Also, the question would only be put before the voters of a county if a city within that county approves excursion gambling boats.**

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal provides that if the voters of a city that is adjacent to a river or an impoundment of such river other than the Mississippi River or the Missouri River approve the licensing of gambling boats within the city, then the voters of that county must subsequently approve the licensing of gambling boats within such city. Currently, once a city approves of the licensing of gambling boats within the city, there is no subsequent county-wide vote on the matter.

The provisions of this proposal shall not apply to any city which has voted to approve the licensing of gambling boats and where a license has been granted to an entity to operate a gambling boat prior to August 28, 2004.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Gaming Commission  
Jefferson County  
Stone County

**NOT RESPONDING: Taney County**



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
April 2, 2004