

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 3146-01  
Bill No.: SB 640  
Subject: Transportation; Transportation Department; Revenue Department; Taxation and Revenue  
Type: Original  
Date: January 22, 2010

---

Bill Summary: This proposal creates special rules for the formation of transportation development districts to operate mass transportation systems.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

---

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** and the **Department of Economic Development** each assume the proposal will not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal will not fiscally impact the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** state this legislation will not have a fiscal impact on their agency; however, DOR anticipates that it would create an unknown increase in total state revenues as it allows for a one percent fee for the cost of collection to be deposited into the state's general revenue fund.

In addition, we have received the following comments from the Office of Administration-Information Technology (ITSD DOR). DOR's response to a proposal similar to or identical to this one in a previous session indicated the Department planned to absorb the administrative costs to implement the proposal. Due to budget constraints, reduction of staff and the limitations within the Department's tax systems, changes cannot be made without significant impact to the Department's resources and budget. Therefore, the fiscal impact is estimated with a level of effort valued at \$4,441. The value of the level of effort is calculated by taking 1 FTE for 1 month at \$4,441 per month for modifications to the Department's sales tax system (MITS).

**Oversight** assumes OA-ITSD (DOR) is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. **Oversight** assumes OA-ITSD (DOR) could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, OA-ITSD (DOR) could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Office of the State Treasurer** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact to their agency if a correction is made to the language in the bill.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City, City of St. Louis**, and the **Kansas City Area Transit Authority** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal is permissive in nature and will not create a fiscal impact by itself.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Transportation  
Office of the State Courts Administrator  
Department of Revenue  
Office of the State Treasurer  
Department of Economic Development

**NOT RESPONDING:**

**City of Kansas City**  
**City of St. Louis**  
**Kansas City Area Transit Authority**



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
January 22, 2010