

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 3162-04
Bill No.: HCS for SB 581
Subject: Cities, Towns, and Villages; Elections
Type: Original
Date: May 10, 2010

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to elections.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Sections 77.305 & 79.045

Officials from the **Kansas City Board of Election Commission** state that there is no additional costs if an “advisory referendum” is placed on a ballot of an already scheduled election. If an election is not scheduled, but a city council wishes to bring an “advisory referendum” to a vote, the cost would be approximately \$300,000.

Oversight assumes the proposal is permissive in nature. Therefore, Oversight will not reflect a direct fiscal impact as a result of this proposal.

Section 115.091

In response to identical legislation filed this year (HB 2220, 5167-01), the following responded:

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State, Platte County Board of Election Commission** and **Cass County** assumed that there would be no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Kansas City Board of Election Commission** assumed a cost of less than \$500 for reprinting the oath.

No other Board of Election Commission or Local Election Authority responded to **Oversight’s** request for fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes any printing costs can be absorbed with existing resources.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Kansas City Board of Election Commission
Office of the Secretary of State
Platte County Board of Election Commission
Cass County



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
May 10, 2010