

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0525-01
Bill No.: SB 63
Subject: Agriculture and Animals; Business and Commerce; Attorney General-State;
 Natural Resource Dept.; Water Resources and Water Districts
Type: Original
Date: February 15, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal prohibits large water consumers from taking water outside of the Southeast Missouri Regional Water District if such activity interferes with certain others' use of the water.

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
 This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 |
| Local Government | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture** and the **Department of Natural Resources** assume there will be no fiscal impact to their agency.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

The following counties did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact: **Scott, Mississippi, Stoddard, Butler, New Madrid, Pemiscot and Dunklin.**

| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u> | FY 2012 (10 Mo.) | FY 2013 | FY 2014 |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u> | FY 2012 (10 Mo.) | FY 2013 | FY 2014 |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture
Office of Attorney General
Department of Natural Resources

NOT RESPONDING

Scott County
Mississippi County
Stoddard County
Butler County
New Madrid County
Pemiscot County
Dunklin County



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
February 15, 2011