

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1041-01  
Bill No.: SB 155  
Subject: Cities, Towns, and Villages; Counties; Economic Development; Taxation and Revenue - Property; Taxation and Revenue - Sales and Use  
Type: Original  
Date: February 23, 2011

---

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions of Missouri's real property tax increment allocation redevelopment act.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development, Office of Public Counsel, Public Service Commission, Department of Revenue, City of Raytown, City of Kansas City, State Tax Commission, Department of Transportation, Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning** and the **City of St. Robert** assume there will be no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials from the **City of Richmond** state this is a regressive tax and may have a negative fiscal impact if implemented.

Officials from the **City of Clayton** state the exact amount of the impact is not calculable at this time. This proposal eliminates the City of Clayton's ability to undertake on its own redevelopment under Missouri's Real Property Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal would not result in an increase or decrease to revenues or expenditures of the state or local governments; therefore, Oversight assumes there would be no fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue  
State Tax Commission  
Department of Transportation  
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education  
Department of Economic Development  
-Office of the Public Counsel  
-Public Service Commission  
Office of Administration  
-Division of Budget and Planning  
City of Raytown  
City of St. Robert  
City of Richmond  
City of Kansas City  
City of Clayton

### NOT RESPONDING

Cities of: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Columbia, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Gladstone, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Jefferson City, Joplin, Kearney, Kirksville, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark, Lebanon, Lee Summit, Liberty, Linn, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Neosho, O'Fallon, Pacific, Peculiar, Popular Bluff, Republic, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles, St. Joseph, St. Louis, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring, West Plains

L.R. No. 1041-01  
Bill No. SB 155  
Page 5 of 5  
February 23, 2011

NOT RESPONDING (continued)

Counties of: Andrew, Barry, Bates, Boone, Buchanan, Butler, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Franklin, Greene, Hickory, Holt, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lafayette, Lawrence, Lincoln, Marion, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Pemiscot, Perry, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Louis, St. Francois, Taney, Texas, Warren, Webster



Mickey Wilson, CPA  
Director  
February 23, 2011