

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0833-02  
Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB 312  
Subject: Education, Higher; Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Motor Vehicles  
Type: Original  
Date: March 13, 2013

---

Bill Summary: This proposal authorizes state college and university police officers to enforce traffic regulations on college or university property.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Higher Education (DHE)** state this proposal will have no direct, foreseeable impact on their agency.

Granting authority to state college and university police officers to implement and enforce traffic regulations on public college and university property may result in additional revenue from fines or financial penalties assessed and received by the institutions as a result of the enforcement of those regulations. This could have a positive fiscal impact on public and colleges and universities granted this authority under the bill. DHE defers to institutions, which were included on the initial request, for the actual fiscal impact estimated by those individual entities.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume this proposal will result in an unknown increase in convictions to be processed by the Driver License Bureau. With the increase in convictions, the number of point warning and suspension/revocation notices issued for accumulation of points will also increase.

There are no statistics available to determine how many additional convictions DOR may be required to process; however, one FTE processes 320 convictions per day. DOR assumes that a minimum of one FTE (Revenue Licensing Tech) will be needed to process the additional convictions that will result from this legislation. If the volume of convictions exceeds 320 per day, then additional FTE will be required and requested through the appropriation process.

There are no statistics available to determine the volume of telephone calls that may be received; however, one Telephone Information Operator (Revenue Processing Tech) is required to handle 100 calls per day. DOR assumes that a minimum of one FTE will be needed to answer the additional phone calls. If the volume exceeds 100 calls per day, additional FTE will be required and requested through the appropriation process.

DOR states that the amount of reinstatement fees collected is unknown.

Fees collected will be distributed as follows: Highway Funds - 75%, Cities - 15%, and Counties - 10%.

**Oversight** assumes the proposal is permissive and, for fiscal note purposes only, will assign no fiscal impact.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator, Department of Public Safety - State Highway Patrol, Department of Transportation, City of Kansas City, Columbia, St. Louis County, Boone County Sheriff's Department, Jefferson City Police Department, University of Missouri System, Missouri Western State University, Linn State Technical College, Missouri Southern State University, Missouri State University, University of Central Missouri, Lincoln University, and Northwest Missouri State University** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies and institutions.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Higher Education  
Office of State Courts Administrator  
Department of Public Safety  
    Missouri Highway Patrol  
Department of Transportation  
Department of Revenue  
Cities  
    City of Kansas City  
    Columbia  
St. Louis County  
Local Law Enforcement  
    Boone County Sheriff's Department  
    Jefferson City Police Department  
Colleges and Universities  
    University of Missouri System  
    Missouri Western State University  
    Linn State Technical College  
    Missouri Southern State University  
    Missouri State University  
    University of Central Missouri  
    Northwest Missouri State University  
    Lincoln University



Ross Strobe  
Acting Director  
March 13, 2013