

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1162-02
Bill No.: HCS for HB Nos. 256, 33 & 305
Subject: General Assembly; Public Records, Public Meetings
Type: Original
Date: February 5, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal extends the sunset provision from 2012 to 2016 with regard to certain sunshine laws concerning closed government meetings and records.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture, Missouri Lottery Commission, Office of the Secretary of State, Missouri Gaming Commission, Department of Natural Resources, Office of the State Courts Administrator, Office of the State Public Defender, Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, Administrative Hearing Commission** and the **Missouri State Employee's Retirement System** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning, Bi-State Development, Office of Administration, Department of Economic Development, Department of Mental Health, Department of Health and Senior Services, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Department of Higher Education, Department of Revenue, Department of Social Services, Department of Public Safety (Capitol Police, Division of Alcohol and Tobacco and Fire Safety), Office of the Governor, Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, Joint Committee on Public Retirement, Missouri Department of Conservation, Missouri Ethics Commission, Missouri Highway Patrol, Missouri House of Representatives, Office of Prosecution Services, Office of the State Auditor, Missouri Senate, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, Office of the State Treasurer, State Tax Commission** and the **Missouri Veterans Commission** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organization.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assumed any potential cost arising from this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Governor's Office** assumed there should be no added cost to their office as a result of this measure.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** stated there is no anticipated state cost to the foundation formula associated with this proposal. To the extent fine revenues exceed 2004-2005 collections, any increase in this money distributed to school districts increases the deduction in the foundation formula the following year. Therefore the affected districts will see an equal decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula the following year; unless the affected districts are hold-harmless, in which case the districts will not see a decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula (any increase in fine money distributed to the hold-harmless districts will simply be additional money). An increase in the deduction (all other factors remaining constant) reduces the cost to the state of funding the formula.

Oversight assumes that since the proposal would extend an expiration date which just expired (December 31, 2012), the differences, due to this proposal, in fine collections would be very minimal.

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 33), officials from the **Department of Transportation and MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System** assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a similar proposal from 2012 (HB 1970), officials from the **Boone County Sheriff's Office, Springfield Police Department, and the Jefferson City Police Department** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This proposal has an emergency clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office
Department of Agriculture
Administrative Hearing Commission
Office of Administration - Budget and Planning
Bi-State Development
Office of Administration
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Economic Development
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Transportation
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration
Department of Mental Health
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Corrections
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Department of Higher Education
Department of Revenue
Department of Social Services
Department of Public Safety
Missouri Gaming Commission
Office of the Governor
Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan
MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

KC:LR:OD

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Joint Committee on Public Retirement
Missouri Lottery Commission
Missouri Department of Conservation
Missouri Ethics Commission
Missouri Highway Patrol
Missouri House of Representatives
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Auditor
Missouri Senate
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Public Defender
Office of the State Treasurer
State Tax Commission
Missouri Veterans Commission
Boone County Sheriff's Department
Springfield Police Department
Jefferson City Police Department
Missouri State Employee's Retirement System



Ross Strope
Acting Director
February 5, 2013