

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1212-06  
Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB 335  
Subject: Emergencies; Taxation and Revenue - Sales and Use; Health Care Professionals; Health Department; Fire Protection  
Type: Original  
Date: April 19, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the laws regarding public safety.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
General Revenue	(Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>(Unknown)</b>	<b>\$0 to (Unknown)</b>	<b>\$0 to (Unknown)</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Crime Victims Compensation	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
Road Fund	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
Line of Duty Compensation	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>(Unknown)</b>	<b>(Unknown)</b>	<b>(Unknown)</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 17 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2016</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>(Unknown - could exceed \$100,000)</b>	<b>(Unknown - could exceed \$100,000)</b>	<b>(Unknown - could exceed \$100,000)</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

In response to the non-perfected version of the proposal, officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS)** stated the National Register for Emergency Medical Technicians (NREMT) recognizes and Missouri has adopted, Emergency Medical Responder (First Responder), EMT Basic, EMT Intermediate and EMT Paramedic certifications. There is no community paramedic certification, so Missouri has no benchmark to use as a template.

DHSS will be required to develop and implement a new testing and tracking system. Since the nature and scope of required training and educational materials and systems are unknown, the impact on DHSS is unknown.

**Oversight** assumes the unknown cost estimated by DHSS would be limited to FY 2014 for creating and implementing this new system.

According to the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)**, many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The Secretary of State's office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to Secretary of State's office for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The Secretary of State's office recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** state this legislation is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to their agency beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Administrative Hearing Commission, State Tax Commission, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Department of Public Safety (Missouri Highway Patrol and Fire Safety)**, as well as the **Barton County Memorial Hospital** each assume the proposal will not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Section 287.067.6 RSMo. - Workers' Compensation:

The following responses were received for HBs 404 & 614:

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of General Services (DGS)** assume this proposal would recognize psychological stress as an occupational disease for paid police officers if a direct relationship is established.

DGS officials noted the state's self insured fund provides workers' compensation benefits to Capitol Police Officers, Liquor Control Officers, Park Rangers, Conservation Agents, Fire Marshall Investigators, and Probation and Parole Officers. DGS officials assume this proposal could potentially increase the costs to the state's workers' compensation program.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume this proposal would recognize psychological stress as an occupational disease for paid police officers if a direct causal relationship applies. DNR officials stated that Missouri State Park Rangers are certified law enforcement officers but noted there is insufficient information on psychological stress as an occupational disease to Park Rangers to estimate the potential fiscal impact from this proposal. Therefore, DNR officials assume this proposal could result in unknown fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Missouri Highway Patrol** deferred to the Office of Administration - Division of General Services for an estimate of the impact of a previous version of this proposal.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Department of Transportation** stated they have a few such cases in their system and assume the proposal could potentially increase the cost of their workers' compensation program.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** assume there would be an unknown cost to their organization from this proposal, as an increase in the number of claims could be anticipated.

In response to similar language in HB 614, LR 1561-01 (2013) officials from the **Department of Corrections** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Personnel**, the **Department of Conservation**, the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations**, and the **City of Columbia** each assumed this proposal would not fiscally impact their respective organizations.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

**Oversight** notes this part of the proposal would define psychological stress for qualified Missouri peace officers as an occupational disease in the workers' compensation system. Oversight also notes that several agencies with qualifying peace officers have reported existing benefit claims for psychological stress but is not able to determine if this proposal would lead to more claims for coverage. Workers' compensation benefits are paid from the General Revenue Fund and Road Funds for state employees, and by local governments. For fiscal note purposes, Oversight will indicate an impact in a range from \$0 to an unknown cost for these entities.

Section 287.243 - Line of Duty Compensation Act;

This change would add certain defined public safety employees whose families would be eligible for benefits under the "Line of Duty Compensation Act". **Oversight** notes the number of additional eligible public safety employees is unknown and assumes for fiscal note purposes the amendment would increase the cost to the Line of Duty Compensation Fund less than (4 eligible families x \$25,000 benefit) = \$100,000 per year.

House Amendments 1 through 13:

Due to time constraints, **Oversight** will attempt to estimate the fiscal impact of the amendments with limited input from various state agencies and local political subdivisions.

House Amendment 1 - Community Paramedics:

**Oversight** assumes these changes will not change the fiscal impact of the bill.

House Amendment 2 - Sales tax in North Kansas City:

This amendment removes this section from the bill. **Oversight** assumes no fiscal impact.

House Amendment 3 - Assault of mass transit worker:

The following responses were for a similar proposal from this year (HB 776);

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state penalty provisions, the component of the bill to have potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for up to a class B felony, however the offender charged with any of the crimes outlined in this proposal could already be charged and prosecuted pursuant to current assault statutes.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase of direct offender costs either through incarceration (FY12 average of \$17.059 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$6,227 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY12 average of \$4.960 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$1,810 per offender).

The following factors contribute to DOC's minimal assumption:

- DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders;
- The probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar but more serious offense or that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials at the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons faced with the enhanced penalties for assault of a mass transit system worker.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation in all cases.

**Oversight** assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

In response to a similar proposals from this year (SB 263), officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** assumed the proposal would have no measurable fiscal impact on their agency. The creation of a new crime creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors which may, in turn, result in additional costs which are difficult to determine.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** each assume the proposal would not create a fiscal impact to

ASSUMPTION (continued)

their respective agencies.

House Amendment 4 - Fireworks near church:

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 981), officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, Division of Fire Safety, and Office of the State Courts Administrator** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

House Amendment 5 - DARE training:

The following responses were for Perfected SB 211:

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** assume that resource officers are typically sheriff's deputies or police officers, but the school would pay them directly or contract through the law enforcement office to pay them for the training required in this proposal.

Officials from the **Boone County Sheriff's Department (BCSD)** assume that unless grandfathered by their years of experience and attendance at numerous prior SRO Conferences, BCSD will experience costs by having to send their four school resource officers to the 40 hour basic SRO training. These costs will include, at a minimum, tuition for the training. If the training is held outside of reasonable driving distance from Boone County, additional costs could include hotels and meals. Forty hours of training routinely costs \$600 to \$800 per person, not including meals/lodging. BCSD could then experience costs of up to \$3,200 in tuition costs. If meals and lodging are required, that figure could increase by another \$1,500.

**Oversight** assumes that the costs for training school resource officers would be borne by school districts, law enforcement agencies, or a combination of both. Oversight assumes costs could exceed \$100,000 for initial training and for training of new school resource officers.

House Amendment 6 - Remove or discharge of chief of law enforcement:

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 399), officials from the **City of Kansas City, Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, Columbia Police Department, Boone County Sheriff's Department, and the Jefferson City Police Department** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

House Amendment 7 - public safety open records:

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 256), Oversight received the following responses:

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture, Missouri Lottery Commission, Office of the Secretary of State, Missouri Gaming Commission, Department of Natural Resources, Office of the State Courts Administrator, Office of the State Public Defender, Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, Administrative Hearing Commission, Joint Committee on Public Retirement, Department of Public Safety (Missouri Highway Patrol, Capitol Police, Division of Fire Safety, Alcohol and Tobacco Control), Office of the State Treasurer, Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System, Department of Higher Education, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Missouri Department of Conservation, Department of Mental Health, Office of the State Auditor, Missouri Gaming Commission, Department of Economic Development, Missouri National Guard, Department of Social Services, Missouri Ethics Commission, Department of Health and Senior Services, Missouri Veterans Commission, Department of Corrections, Office of Administration - Budget and Planning, Office of Administration, Department of Revenue, Missouri Senate, State Tax Commission, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration and the Missouri State Employee's Retirement System** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume any potential cost arising from this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Governor's Office** assume there should be no added cost to their office as a result of this measure.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** state there is no anticipated state cost to the foundation formula associated with this proposal. To the extent fine revenues exceed 2004-2005 collections, any increase in this money distributed to school districts increases the deduction in the foundation formula the following year. Therefore the affected districts will see an equal decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula the following year; unless the affected districts are hold-harmless, in which case the districts will not see a decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula (any increase in fine money distributed to the hold-harmless districts will simply be additional money). An increase in the

ASSUMPTION (continued)

deduction (all other factors remaining constant) reduces the cost to the state of funding the formula.

**Oversight** assumes that since the proposal would extend an expiration date which just expired (December 31, 2012), the differences, due to this proposal, in fine collections would be very minimal.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Bi-State Development, Office of Prosecution Services** and the **Missouri House of Representatives** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organization.

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 33), officials from the **Department of Transportation** and assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a similar proposal from 2012 (HB 1970), officials from the **Boone County Sheriff's Office, Springfield Police Department, and the Jefferson City Police Department** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

House Amendment 8 - Removed Section 321.015 from the bill:

Oversight assumes this amendment would not have a fiscal impact.

House Amendment 10 - Transient Guest Tax in Liberty:

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 123), **Oversight** received the following responses:

Officials from the **Department of Revenue, Department of Economic Development and Missouri Tax Commission** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the City of Liberty did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes the proposal permits the City of Liberty to authorize a transient guest tax upon voter approval and, for fiscal note purposes only, will assign no direct fiscal impact to local government funds.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

House Amendment 11 - Expansion of Crime Victim's Compensation Fund:

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 945), officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** and the **Office of Administration** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

**Oversight** assumes this amendment would expand the list of those eligible to receive proceeds from the Crime Victim's Compensation Fund. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential loss to that fund from \$0 (these added individuals do not apply for or are not approved for proceeds from the fund) to an Unknown amount.

House Amendment 12 - Payment of dues or fees:

**Oversight** assumes this amendment would not have a fiscal impact on the state or local political subdivisions.

House Amendment 1 to HA13 - Restriction of possession of a firearm:

**Oversight** assumes this amendment would not have a fiscal impact on the state or local political subdivisions.

House Amendment 2 to HA13 - Crime Scene photographs:

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 330), **Oversight** received the following responses:

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator, Office of Prosecution Services, Office of the State Public Defender, Department of Social Services,** and the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Independence Police Department, Kansas City Police Department, St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department,** and the **Springfield Police Department** did not respond to our request for fiscal impact for a similar proposal from 2012 (HB 1127).

ASSUMPTION (continued)

House Amendment 13 - Crime Scene photographs:

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 639), **Oversight** received the following responses:

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DSS) - Division of Youth Services (DYS)** state there would be no fiscal impact to the DYS. However, there would be an operations impact. Presently, there are occasions when it is appropriate for staff to ask a youth in the Division's custody about the existence of firearms in the home. This most often occurs when there is a history of crime, committed by the youth or others residing in the home, involving weapons or violence. This information is requested in order to evaluate the safety of both the youth and DYS employees that regularly visit the home. Passage of this proposal would force DYS personnel to discontinue this practice.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** state there is no anticipated state cost to the foundation formula associated with this proposal. To the extent fine revenues exceed 2004-2005 collections, any increase in this money distributed to school districts increases the deduction in the foundation formula the following year. Therefore, the affected districts will see an equal decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula the following year unless the affected districts are hold-harmless, in which case the districts will not see a decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula (any increase in fine money distributed to the hold-harmless districts will simply be additional money). An increase in the deduction (all other factors remaining constant) reduces the cost to the state of funding the formula.

**Oversight** assumes any increase or decrease in fine or penalty revenues generated cannot be determined. Therefore, the fiscal note does not reflect any fine or penalty revenues for the local school districts.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions, and Professional Registration**, the **Department of Mental Health**, the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Columbia/Boone County Department of Public Health & Human Services** and the **Harrison County Health Department** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

No officials from schools or hospitals responded to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact and no additional local public health agencies responded to the request.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>GENERAL REVENUE</b>			
<u>Cost - DOHSS</u>			
§§ 190.098 and 190.100 - to develop and implement a new testing and tracking system for community paramedic certification	(Unknown)	\$0	\$0
<u>Cost - OA</u>			
Workers' compensation claims § 287.067.6	\$0 to <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 to <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 to <u>(Unknown)</u>
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>	<b><u>(Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 to (Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 to (Unknown)</u></b>
 <b>CRIME VICTIMS COMPENSATION</b>			
<u>Loss - Department of Public Safety</u>			
Expansion of list of individuals allowed to apply for compensation §287.243	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE CRIME VICTIMS COMPENSATION FUND</b>	<b><u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u></b>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u> (continued)	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>ROAD FUNDS</b>			
<u>Cost - MODOT</u>			
Workers' compensation claims	\$0 to	\$0 to	\$0 to
Section 287.067.6	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON ROAD FUNDS</b>	<b><u>\$0 to (Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 to (Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 to (Unknown)</u></b>
<b>LINE OF DUTY COMPENSATION FUND</b>			
<u>Cost - DOLIR</u>			
Claims	(Less than	(Less than	(Less than
Section 287.243	<u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>\$100,000)</u>	<u>\$100,000)</u>
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LINE OF DUTY COMPENSATION FUND</b>	<b><u>(Less than \$100,000)</u></b>	<b><u>(Less than \$100,000)</u></b>	<b><u>(Less than \$100,000)</u></b>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
---	---------------------	---------	---------

**LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS**

<u>Cost</u> - Local governments			
Workers' compensation claims	\$0 to	\$0 to	\$0 to
Section 287.067.6	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>

<u>Costs</u> - School Districts and/or Local Law Enforcement - School resource officer training (HA 5)	(Unknown - Could exceed <u>\$100,000</u> )	(Unknown - Could exceed <u>\$100,000</u> )	(Unknown - Could exceed <u>\$100,000</u> )
--	--	--	--

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS</b>	<b>(Unknown - Could exceed <u>\$100,000</u>)</b>	<b>(Unknown - Could exceed <u>\$100,000</u>)</b>	<b>(Unknown - Could exceed <u>\$100,000</u>)</b>
---	--	--	--

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill changes the laws regarding public safety. In its main provisions, the bill allows a person to be eligible for certification by the Department of Health and Senior Services as a community paramedic if he or she is currently certified as a paramedic and has two years of full-time service as a paramedic or its part-time equivalent; successfully completes a community paramedic education program from a college or university that has been approved by the department or accredited by a national accreditation organization approved by the department that includes clinical experience under the supervision of an ambulance service administrator, advanced practice registered nurse, licensed physician assistant, or public health nurse; and completes an application form approved by the department. A community paramedic must practice in accordance with protocols and supervisory standards established by an ambulance service administrator and may provide services as directed by a patient care plan if the plan has been developed by the patient's primary physician, advanced practice registered nurse, or physician assistant in conjunction with the ambulance service administrator and relevant local health care providers. The care plan must ensure that the services provided by the community paramedic are

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

consistent with those offered by the patient's health care home, if one exists; that the patient receives the necessary services; and that there is no duplication of services. The bill specifies that no person can hold himself or herself out as a community paramedic or provide the services of the position unless he or she is licensed by the department (Sections 190.098 and 190.100).

Section 287.067 - would recognize psychological stress as an occupational disease for paid police officers of a paid police department certified under Chapter 590 if a direct causal relationship is established.

Section 287.243 - would expand eligibility for claims from the Line of Duty Compensation Fund

House Amendment 5 - the Missouri state training center for the D.A.R.E. program shall develop the curriculum and certification requirements for school resource officers. At a minimum, school resource officers must complete forty hours of basic school resource officer training to include legal operation within an educational environment, intruder training and planning, juvenile law, and any other relevant topics relating to the job and functions of a school resource officer.

House Amendment 11 - specifies that the owner of property damaged by an arresting law enforcement agency during the service of process is eligible to file an application for compensation with the Department of Public Safety, unless the damaged property is owned by the offender or an accomplice of an offender.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office  
Department of Agriculture  
Administrative Hearing Commission  
Office of Administration - Budget and Planning  
Bi-State Development  
Office of Administration  
Office of the State Courts Administrator  
Department of Economic Development  
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education  
Department of Transportation  
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

RS:LR:OD

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Department of Mental Health  
Department of Natural Resources  
Department of Corrections  
Department of Health and Senior Services  
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations  
Department of Higher Education  
Department of Revenue  
Department of Social Services  
Department of Public Safety  
Missouri Gaming Commission  
Office of the Governor  
Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan  
MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System  
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules  
Joint Committee on Public Retirement  
Missouri Lottery Commission  
Missouri Department of Conservation  
Missouri Ethics Commission  
Missouri Highway Patrol  
Missouri House of Representatives  
Office of Prosecution Services  
Office of the State Auditor  
Missouri Senate  
Office of the Secretary of State  
Office of the State Public Defender  
Office of the State Treasurer  
State Tax Commission  
Missouri Veterans Commission  
Boone County Sheriff's Department  
Springfield Police Department  
Jefferson City Police Department



L.R. No. 1212-06  
Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 335  
Page 17 of 17  
April 19, 2013

Ross Strope  
Acting Director  
April 19, 2013

RS:LR:OD