

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1732-03
Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB 936
Subject: Nurses; Telecommunications; Health Care; Health Department; Abortions;
 Physicians
Type: Original
Date: May 1, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the laws regarding the provision of health care services.

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
 This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE | 0 | 0 | 0 |

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
| Local Government | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration** and **Department of Mental Health** each assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a similar proposal, HB 400, officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** stated many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Department of Social Services** assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Amendment 1

Oversight assumes this amendment will not change the fiscal impact of the proposal.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** and **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration** assume this amendment will not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u> | FY 2014 (10 Mo.) | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u> | FY 2014 (10 Mo.) | FY 2015 | FY 2016 |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Social Services
Department of Mental Health
Office of the Secretary of State
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules



Ross Strope
Acting Director
May 1, 2013