

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5745-04
Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed HCS for SS for SB 869
Subject: Children and Minors; Family Law; Social Services Department; General Assembly; Courts; Public Safety Department
Type: Original
Date: June 4, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the laws regarding children.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
General Revenue	(Could exceed \$2,023,407)	(Less than \$2,922,829)	(Less than \$3,031,591)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Could exceed \$2,023,407)	(Less than \$2,922,829)	(Less than \$3,031,591)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
University	(Unknown)	\$0	\$0
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	(Unknown)	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 19 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Federal*	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

* Income and expenditures net to \$0.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
General Revenue	2	20.72	18.72
Federal	0	20.28	20.28
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	2	41	39

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Local Government*	(Unknown)	\$0	\$0*

* Income and expenses net to \$0 in FY 2017.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§37.710 - Office of Child Advocate responsibilities

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Office of Child Advocate (OCA)** state section 37.710.2(5), RSMo would increase the responsibility of and the length of time the OCA is involved in a case and would likely increase the number of cases. OCA would need one new investigator at \$48,000 annually, plus benefits and related equipment and expense of \$2,729. This position would review cases and coordinate with the Attorney General's Office to file pleadings necessary to intervene on behalf of the child. This individual would require experience working with the Children's Division, Juvenile Officers and the courts.

§105.271 - Shared leave for adoptions and fostering a child

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety (DPS) - Missouri Veterans Commission (VET)** state the fiscal impact of the proposal is unknown as the VET doesn't know who would qualify and how much leave would be available. The legislation will need development in the State Regulations from the Division of Personnel to establish processes and procedures.

Officials at the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** assume there appear to be various unknowns associated with this proposal. These unknowns could put federal funds in jeopardy as well as result in costs or savings to the state; neither of which can be estimated.

Officials from the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** state any legislation passed that would take away from the hours available to work would affect the SPD System. The SPD System is currently providing legal representation in caseloads in excess of recognized standards. Fewer hours available to work would impact representation availability.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** state due to the unknown frequency of requests and duration, in addition to the unknown dollar value difference between leave donated versus donated leave used, the direct fiscal impact of the proposed legislation is unknown.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DSS) - Human Resource Center** do not anticipate a fiscal impact from the implementation of a shared leave system for foster parents.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety (DPS) - Missouri State Highway Patrol (MHP)** believes the impact of this section of the proposal will be minimal and can be absorbed within current funding levels.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **OA - Personnel Division** state the OA would need to develop a new policy and procedures for the donation of such leave and for tracking the leave events. The current ShareLeave program does not allow an individual to request shared leave during or after pregnancy unless complications during or after pregnancy rise to the level of a “catastrophic illness or injury”, which is the current eligibility requirement to receive ShareLeave.

Section 105.271.2 allows for the donated leave to be transferable between employees in different departments, agencies, or political subdivisions if the chief administrative officers of the departments, agencies, or political subdivisions agree. Agencies currently do not allow transfer of ShareLeave across other agencies.

Additionally, there is no statewide tracking mechanism in place for this transfer of leave as each agency monitors their individual ShareLeave donations and usage. Determination would need to be made on how the State would track and monitor ShareLeave for adoption or foster placement which was transferred among departments.

1 CSR 20-5.025 will need to be modified and approved by the Personnel Advisory Board to reflect the requirements of this legislation if it is signed by the Governor.

Oversight will show an unknown impact for computer programming costs in FY15. Oversight assumes the financial impact may affect various state agencies and state funds but will show all the impact as being to General Revenue for fiscal note purposes.

Oversight assumes that the Universities would need to create a tracking system to implement this proposal. Oversight will show an unknown impact for computer programming costs in FY15.

Oversight assumes this proposal is unclear as to whether it affects all taxing entities in the state and therefore, Oversight will show an unknown impact for computer programming costs in FY15 for all local political subdivisions.

§§208.631 - 208.646 - CHIP requirements

Officials from the **DSS-Family Support Division (FSD)** state there is an average of 99 children per month who apply for CHIP benefits who must wait six months to be eligible for coverage due to loss or termination of health insurance benefits without good cause.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

An average of 493 children per month are removed fromn CHIP coverage for a six month period for failure to pay CHIP premiums.

These populations would be subject to a thirty day wait period. While it is possible that the 90 day wait period would be appealing to applicants who previously had to wait six months to get their children coverage, the FSD does not feel it would see a significant increase in the CHIP population, as these are children who are already eligible. Therefore, this fiscal note is of a zero fiscal impact for FSD.

Officials from the **DSS-MHD** state, for section 208.631, that according to assumptions by the Family Support Division (FSD), these children are already eligible but will be eligible for coverage three months earlier than they would be under the current policy. There currently are 99 (35 fee-for-service (FFS) and 64 Managed Care) premium paying children who lose their coverage each month for three months. It is assumed this change will affect only one cohort of children since all future children will need to be "uninsured" for only ninety (90) days.

The maximum fiscal impact will be the average monthly cost offset by the average monthly premium for three months. The annual cost will be \$11,908. This assumes all families will immediately apply for coverage after the 90 day period. It is unknown what the actual time frame will be for families to apply for coverage.

For section 208.636, according to assumptions provided by FSD, there are very few CHIP children rejected due to the asset limit. Therefore, there will be no fiscal impact to the Managed Care Program.

For section 208.646, according to assumptions by FSD, there are 493 (175 Fee-for-Service (FFS); 318 Managed Care) children each month who are removed from CHIP coverage for three months for failure to pay a CHIP premium. These are new children each month (not duplicated) and it is assumed that the same number will continue to lose coverage each month. It is assumed that these children will regain their eligibility three months earlier than they would have previously.

The fiscal impact will be the average monthly cost for these children offset by the average monthly premium for three months. An annual cost was calculated assuming that not all three months of the fiscal impact will occur within the same fiscal year as the child lost eligibility. The annual cost will be \$534,439. The cost is shown as unknown less than the cost for 3 months of coverage as this is the maximum cost that MO HealthNet would occur based on current participant data. Today some families may not seek coverage until later in the six month period when the child needs medical care; for these families, MHD may not incur 3 months of additional costs. Some families may have affordable care for their children through the insurance exchange and not receive services under CHIP.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

It is assumed the FY15 cost will be for 10 months and FY16 and FY17 will have a 2.9% trend factor added.

FY15: Total (Unknown, less than \$455,289) - (GR < \$117,601; Federal < \$337,688);

FY16: Total (Unknown, less than \$562,191) - (GR < \$145,214; Federal < \$416,977);

FY17: Total (Unknown, less than \$578,493) - (GR < \$149,425; Federal < \$429,068).

Officials from the **DPS-MHP** defer to the Missouri Department of Transportation Employee Benefits Section for response on behalf of the Highway Patrol. Please see their fiscal note for the potential fiscal impact of this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health (DMH)** state these provisions remove the required amount of time for coverage of persons who have not had access to affordable employer-subsidized health care coverage for 6 months. It also removes the requirement for parents or legal guardians to demonstrate that their net worth does not exceed two hundred fifty thousand dollars, and changes the time frame of ineligibility for failure to pay co-payment or premium requirements from 6 months to 90 days. It is anticipated that more children will become eligible under this proposed legislation. DMH costs and/or cost savings for these changes will be included in DSS costs and/or cost savings to the MO HealthNet program.

§210.027 - Child care providers who receive state or federal funds

Officials from the **DSS - Children's Division (CD)** state subdivision (9) establishes procedures for the conducting of onsite monitoring of child care providers prior to receiving state or federal funds for providing child care. Onsite monitoring will require additional staff. The Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS) currently inspects licensed facilities in the same fashion that CD will need to inspect all other facilities, i.e. registered providers. DHSS Child Care Facility Specialists (equivalent to DSS' Executive I) work 12-13 inspections per month. CD has assumed it would be able to conduct 18 inspections per month.

CD currently has between 4,600-4,700 registered providers that are either new or renewal providers that would need to be inspected. 22 Executives I FTE could handle around 4,700 inspections per year (18 inspections/month X 12 months X 22 Execs I = 4,752). In addition, 2 FTE Senior Office Support Assistant will be needed to assist tracking.

Travel cost for inspectors is assumed to be \$3,000 per person per year, similar to CD's Caseworkers travel costs.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Some inspections in the urban areas may require more than one inspector for safety concerns. Executives II will be utilized for this purpose and supervision of Executives I.

Additional positions include 1 Manager as well as a Program Development Specialist to handle the support and a Management Analysis Specialist I for all of the data associated with this new section; 5 FTE Executives II will be needed to supervise the Executives I.

Fund split was calculated based on available child care appropriation, excluding Early Childhood Development Education and Care (ECDEC) funding (which is appropriated for specific purposes); 36.58% GR; 63.42% Federal Funds.

Due to the October 1, 2015 implementation date of this section of the proposal, DSS-CD assumes total FY16 costs of \$2,027,307 (GR \$741,589; Federal \$1,285,718); and FY17 costs of \$1,847,442 (GR \$675,794; Federal \$1,171,648).

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS)** state the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services has proposed changes to the rules governing the Child Care Development Fund in 45 CFR 98. The changes proposed in this legislation would make Missouri's child care statutes more consistent with the proposed changes governing the Child Care Development Fund.

DHSS' Division of Regulation and Licensure (DRL), Section for Child Care Regulation (SCCR) is responsible for licensure and enforcement of regulated child care facilities in Missouri. SCCR estimates that there are approximately 1,788 unlicensed and unregulated in-home child care providers receiving state or federal funds. DHSS, Division of Community and Public Health (DCPH) submits sanitation inspections of child care facilities to DRL. DCPH estimates at least 1,788 facilities not currently regulated will be immediately required to be inspected annually for sanitation under this proposal. As specified in section 210.027.2, these inspections are expected to begin October 1, 2015.

DCPH staff conduct initial sanitation inspections and Local Public Health Agency (LPHA) staff conduct most annual sanitation inspections. DCPH would require a minimum of five additional Environmental Public Health Specialists (EPHS) IV to provide these services for 1,788 facilities during FY16. Additional activities such as identification of additional facilities, initial inspections due to high rates of facility turnover, and training/education to industry and local public health agencies in FY17 would be conducted by an ongoing three EPHSs IV. Standard expense and equipment costs are included for additional staff.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

DCPH contracts with LPHAs to conduct annual child care sanitation inspections. Additional payments to LPHAs starting in FY17 are \$277,140.

1,788 facilities x \$120/inspection = \$214,560
1,788 facilities x \$70/reinspection x 50% reinspection rate = \$62,580
\$214,560 + \$62,580 = \$277,140

The DHSS estimates FY16 costs to the General Revenue (GR) Fund of \$315,569; and FY17 costs of \$517,292.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety (DPS) - Division of Fire Safety (DFS)** state this proposal expands the DFS' Fire Inspection program for the inspection of child care providers who receive state or federal funds. Section 210.027 establishes requirements for these child care facilities to include compliance with state and local fire codes. The Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS) estimates this will impact approximately 2,600 facilities not currently under the purview of DFS inspection.

Based on this information, the DFS assumes that it would need to hire two (2) FTE Fire Safety Inspectors and conduct inspections of these additional child care facilities. Related expense and equipment funding is also being requested. Total costs for FY16, the first year of implementation of these requirements, would be \$155,247 to the General Revenue Fund; FY17 costs would be \$122,725.

Officials from the **OA - Information Technology Services Division (ITSD)** state they consulted with Department of Social Services (DSS) Child Care to develop the level of effort (LOE) for this section. The LOE is estimated at 3,800 hours. This estimate is based on the assumption DSS Child Care workers will input the information into the Family Assistance Management Information System (FAMIS) and no automated eligibility determination will be needed for the providers.

- Interface Programs: ITSD will need to build interface programs (Web services/Cobol programs) to fetch the required provider information from other agencies who maintain the provider information like health and safety, history of violations, compliance etc.
Estimation: Approximately 400 Hrs for Analysis, Development and Implementation.
- Changes to the existing FAMIS Green Screens: Change existing screens to capture all information needed for web page.
Estimation: Approximately 400 Hrs for Analysis, Development and Implementation.

HWC:LR:OD

ASSUMPTION (continued)

- Web Interface to Customers: Need to build 6 to 8 new web screens to satisfy the requirement. The screens may increase +2/+3 once the exact requirements are defined. **Estimation: Approximately 3000 Hrs for analysis, spec development, coding, unit testing and Implementation.**

Contract staff will be used for this effort. FAMIS contractors are \$90.00/hr

Contract Staff \$90.00/hr x 3,800 hours = \$ 342,000

Child care is 100% General Revenue.

Oversight discussed with DSS staff the effective date of the provisions of this section of the proposal as it pertains to system modifications that will be performed by ITSD staff. Based on that discussion, Oversight will present ITSD costs in FY15 although the provisions of the proposal are not to be enforced until October 1, 2015. System modifications will require more than three months to complete (July 1 to October 1) and all changes will have to be completed by October 1, 2015.

§§210.145, 210.152, and 210.183 - Child abuse investigations

Officials from the **OA-ITSD** state these sections would require multiple changes to the Family and Children's Electronic System (FACES) in the Child Abuse and Neglect (CA/N) Assignment and Managed Reporting processes.

All three sections affect the same programs.

<u>Function</u>	<u>Work Effort (hours)</u>
Analysis and Design	16 hours
Update HLD and Tech Specification Documents	12 hours
CAN/N Assignment modifications and unit testing	52 hours
Managed Reporting modifications and unit testing	120 hours
System/Regression Test	28 hours
User Acceptance Testing	<u>60 hours</u>
Total:	288 hours

State Staff would be required for this effort at \$63.04/hr

Total Cost: 288 hours X \$63.04/hr = \$ 18,156 (rounded)

HWC:LR:OD

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Match rate for FACES is 50% GR and 50% Federal.

<u>Total Cost:</u>	<u>GR</u>	<u>Federal</u>	<u>Total</u>
FAMIS	\$342,000	0	\$342,000 (\$210.027)
<u>FACES</u>	<u>\$ 9,078</u>	<u>\$ 9,078</u>	<u>\$18,156</u>
	\$351,078	\$ 9,078	\$360,156

Officials from the **DSS-CD** state the CD does not anticipate a fiscal impact as a result of this section.

§210.160 - Guardians ad litem

Officials from the **DSS-CD** state the changes to section 210.160 do not fiscally impact the CD as any costs associated with required training for guardians ad litem would presumably come from sources other than the CD, most presumably the courts and/or attorneys intending to serve the courts and children in this capacity.

§334.950 - SAFE CARE

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety (DPS) - Director's Office** state the legislation requires the DPS to establish rules and make payments to SAFE CARE providers, out of appropriations made for that purpose, who provide forensic examinations of persons under eighteen years of age who are alleged victims of physical abuse.

The SAFE program within the Crime Victims Compensation Unit of the DPS received 2,587 claims for suspected sexual assault/rape victims who were under the age of 18 and paid a total of \$1,433,919 to medical providers who performed the sexual assault forensic examination.

In order to provide reimbursement to medical providers for forensic examination of victims of physical abuse, DPS would require the following:

One FTE for a staff person to process claims (Processing Technician, \$25,572 annually) plus related fringe benefits, equipment and expense. Total FY15 costs to the General Revenue (GR) Fund are estimated to be \$39,597; FY16 costs to GR of \$39,651; and FY17 costs to GR of \$40,056.

Oversight notes the FY15 budget (Section 8.050) for the Department of Public Safety that has been approved by the legislature but that is still awaiting action by the Governor, appropriates \$1,452,000 to reimburse SAFE CARE providers for performing forensic medical exams on children suspected of having been physically abused. Since this is a new program/new decision item, Oversight will present this cost in the fiscal note for each FY15, FY16, and FY17.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **DSS-CD** do not anticipate a fiscal impact as result of this section.

§§ 453.073 and 453.074 - Subsidy agreements and administration

Officials from the **DSS-CD** state the CD does not anticipate a fiscal impact as a result of this legislation.

Bill as a Whole:

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health (DMH)** state the DMH does operate children's programs in two accredited facilities. It is assumed that those facilities would meet or exceed requirements related to buildings and training that the Department of Social Services might develop. Based upon this assumption, the proposal should create no fiscal impact for the DMH. If this assumption proves false, then the DMH would experience an unknown fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assume any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if there is a significant increase in referrals.

Officials at the **Department of Agriculture**, the **Office of State Courts Administrator**, the **Department of Higher Education**, the **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration**, the **Department of Economic Development (DED) - Public Service Commission**, the **DED - Missouri Housing Development Commission**, the **Department of Corrections**, the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations**, the **Department of Revenue**, the **DSS - Division of Legal Services**, the **Department of Public Safety (DPS) - Capitol Police**, the **DPS - State Emergency Management Agency**, the **Joint Commission on Administrative Rules**, the **Missouri Department of Conservation**, the **Office of Prosecution Services**, the **Office of State Auditor**, the **Office of State Treasurer**, **St. Louis County**, the **City of Columbia**, the **City of Kansas City**, **Linn State Technical College**, **Missouri State University**, **Missouri Western State University**, and the **University of Central Missouri** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** did not respond to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact. However, in previous responses to the various similar provisions now contained in this bill, the SOS stated many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** did not respond to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact. However, in previous responses to various similar provisions now contained in this bill, MoDOT assumed the proposals would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the following **cities**: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Gladstone, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Jefferson City, Joplin, Kearney, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark, Lebanon, Lee Summit, Liberty, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Monett, Neosho, O'Fallon, Pacific, Peculiar, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles, St. Joseph, St. Louis, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring and West Plains did not respond to **Oversight's** request for statement of fiscal impact.

Officials from the following **counties**: Andrew, Audrain, Barry, Bates, Boone, Buchanan, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Franklin, Greene, Holt, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lawrence, Lincoln, Marion, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Perry, Pettis, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Warren, Wayne and Worth did not respond to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
<u>Costs - OA-OCA (\$37.710)</u>			
Personal service	(\$40,000)	(\$48,480)	(\$48,965)
Fringe benefits	(\$20,402)	(\$24,727)	(\$24,975)
Equipment and expense	<u>(\$2,729)</u>	<u>(\$352)</u>	<u>(\$359)</u>
Total <u>Costs - OA</u>	<u>(\$63,131)</u>	<u>(\$73,559)</u>	<u>(\$74,299)</u>
FTE Change - OA	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE
 <u>Costs - OA (\$105.271)</u>			
System programming costs	(Unknown)	\$0	\$0
 <u>Costs - DSS-MHD (§§208.631 to 208.646)</u>			
Increase in CHIP costs due to elimination of asset limits and changes in wait periods for failure to pay premiums	(Unknown, less than \$117,601)	(Unknown, less than \$145,214)	(Unknown, less than \$149,425)
 <u>Costs - OA-ITSD/DSS (§210.027)</u>			
Computer programming and system modifications	(\$342,000)	\$0	\$0
 <u>Costs - DPS-FS (§210.027)</u>			
Personal service	\$0	(\$52,074)	(\$70,126)
Fringe benefits	\$0	(\$26,560)	(\$35,768)
Equipment and supplies	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$76,613)</u>	<u>(\$16,831)</u>
Total <u>Costs - DPS-FS</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$155,247)</u>	<u>(\$122,725)</u>
FTE Change - DPS	0 FTE	2 FTE	2 FTE
 <u>Costs - DHSS (§210.027)</u>			
Personal service	\$0	(\$163,075)	(\$131,764)
Fringe benefits	\$0	(\$83,176)	(\$67,206)
Equipment and supplies	\$0	(\$69,318)	(\$41,182)
LPHA contracts	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$277,140)</u>
Total <u>Costs - DHSS</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$315,569)</u>	<u>(\$517,292)</u>
FTE Change - DHSS	0 FTE	5 FTE	3 FTE

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
GENERAL REVENUE FUND (cont.)			
<u>Costs - DSS-CD (\$210.027)</u>			
Personal service	\$0	(\$379,441)	(\$383,235)
Fringe benefits	\$0	(\$193,534)	(\$195,469)
Equipment and supplies	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$168,614)</u>	<u>(\$97,090)</u>
Total <u>Costs - DSS-CD</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$741,589)</u>	<u>(\$675,794)</u>
FTE Change - DSS-CD	0 FTE	11.72 FTE	11.72 FTE
 <u>Costs - OA-ITSD (§§210.145, 210.152, and 210.183)</u>			
System modification costs	(\$9,078)	\$0	\$0
 <u>Costs - DPS-DO (\$334.950)</u>			
Personal service	(\$21,310)	(\$25,828)	(\$26,086)
Fringe benefits	(\$10,869)	(\$13,174)	(\$13,305)
Equipment and expense	(\$7,418)	(\$649)	(\$665)
Provider reimbursement (Section 8.050 of FY15 approved budget)	<u>(\$1,452,000)</u>	<u>(\$1,452,000)</u>	<u>(\$1,452,000)</u>
Total <u>Costs - DPS-DO</u>	<u>(\$1,491,597)</u>	<u>(\$1,491,651)</u>	<u>(\$1,492,056)</u>
FTE Change - DPS	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE
 ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	 <u>(Could exceed \$2,023,407)</u>	 <u>(Less than \$2,922,829)</u>	 <u>(Less than \$3,031,591)</u>
 Estimated Net FTE Change on the General Revenue Fund	 2 FTE	 20.72 FTE	 18.72 FTE

UNIVERSITY FUNDS

<u>Costs - OA (\$105.271)</u>			
University computer system programming costs	(Unknown)	\$0	\$0
 ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON UNIVERSITY FUNDS	 <u>(Unknown)</u>	 <u>\$0</u>	 <u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
FEDERAL FUNDS			
<u>Income</u> - DSS-MHD (§§208.631 to 208.646)			
Increase in program reimbursements	Unknown, less than \$337,688	Unknown, less than \$416,977	Unknown, less than \$429,068
<u>Income</u> - DSS-CD (§210.027)			
Increase in program reimbursements	\$0	\$1,285,718	\$1,171,648
<u>Income</u> - OA-ITSD (§§210.145, 210.152, and 210.183)			
System modification reimbursements	\$9,078	\$0	\$0
<u>Costs</u> - DSS-MHD (§§208.631 to 208.646)			
Increase in program expenditures	(Unknown, less than \$337,688)	(Unknown, less than \$416,977)	(Unknown, less than \$429,068)
<u>Costs</u> - DSS-CD (§210.027)			
Personal service	\$0	(\$657,849)	(\$664,428)
Fringe benefits	\$0	(\$335,536)	(\$338,891)
Equipment and expense	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$292,333)</u>	<u>(\$168,329)</u>
Total <u>Costs</u> - DSS-CD	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$1,285,718)</u>	<u>(\$1,171,648)</u>
FTE Change - DSS-CD	0 FTE	20.28 FTE	20.28 FTE
<u>Costs</u> - OA-ITSD (§§210.145, 210.152, and 210.183)			
System modification costs	<u>(\$9,078)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Estimated Net FTE Change on Federal Funds	0 FTE	20.28 FTE	20.28 FTE

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
LOCAL GOVERNMENT - LOCAL PUBLIC HEALTH AGENCIES			
<u>Income - LPHAs</u>			
Daycare inspection contract fees	\$0	\$0	\$277,140
<u>Costs - LPHAs</u>			
Increase in inspection costs	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$277,140)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT - LOCAL PUBLIC HEALTH AGENCIES	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Costs - Local Political Subdivisions</u>			
Computer programming costs (§105.271)	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

§210.027 - This proposal will have a negative administrative and fiscal impact on small business child care facilities, whether licensed or registered, as they will be required to attend additional training sessions and meet new safety regulations.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal allows the Office of the Child Advocate within the Office of Administration to file any pleadings necessary in order to intervene on behalf of a child at the appropriate judicial level using the resources of the Office of the Attorney General. (Section 37.710)

Under current law, adoptive parents who are employed by the state or political subdivisions may take leave for purposes of arranging for the adopted child's placement or care. This bill establishes the same treatment for foster parents.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

The bill also requires the state and political subdivisions to provide a leave sharing program for employees arranging for a foster or adopted child's placement or care. Donated annual leave, overtime, or compensatory leave time shall be transferrable between employees across departments, agencies and political subdivisions with the agreement of the chief administrative officers of such departments, agencies or political subdivisions. (Section 105.271)

This proposal specifies that for child care providers who receive state or federal funds for providing child care services in the home the department of social services must: (1) Establish a publicly available website listing provider-specific information about any health and safety licensing or regulatory requirements met by the provider including dates of inspection, history of violations, and compliance actions taken; (2) Establish or designate a hotline for parents to submit complaints about child care providers; (3) Establishes minimum requirements for building and physical premises; (4) Establish necessary and reasonable rules and regulations to define pre-service training requirements for child care providers pursuant to applicable federal laws and regulations; (5) Establish procedures for conducting on-site monitoring of a child care provider prior to their receiving state or federal funds for providing child care services in the home either by direct payment or through reimbursement to a child care beneficiary and annually thereafter; (6) Require providers that receive assistance under applicable federal regulations and statutes to report any serious injuries or deaths of children occurring in child care to the department; and (7) Establish a child care indicator system that must provide parents with a way to differentiate between child care providers as required by federal regulations. The system must indicate whether the provider meets the state's registration or licensing standards, is in compliance with applicable health and safety requirements, and if the provider has any violations related to registration or licensing requirements. The system must indicate if the provider utilizes a nationally recognized curricula and if the provider is in compliance with staff educational requirements. (Section 210.027)

The department of public safety shall establish rules and make payments to SAFE CARE providers, out of appropriations made for that purpose, who provide forensic examinations of persons under eighteen years of age who are alleged victims of physical abuse. (Section 334.950)

This legislation is not federally mandated (however, it would put the Missouri statutes more consistent with federal proposed rule changes governing the Child Care Development Fund in 45 CFR 98), would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Attorney General
Department of Agriculture
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Economic Development -
 Public Service Commission
 Missouri Housing Development Commission
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Higher Education
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration
Department of Mental Health
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Corrections
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Department of Revenue
Department of Social Services -
 Children's Division
 Division of Legal Services
Missouri Department of Transportation
Department of Public Safety -
 Capitol Police
 Director's Office
 Division of Fire Safety
 Missouri Veterans Commission
Joint Commission on Administrative Rules
Missouri Department of Conservation
Office of Administration -
 Commissioner's Office
 Office of Child Advocate
 Information Technology Services Division
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of State Auditor
Office of Secretary of State

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Office of State Public Defender
Office of State Treasurer
City of Kansas City
Missouri State University
Missouri Western State University



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
June 4, 2014

Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
June 4, 2014