

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. NO.: 2332-01
BILL NO.: HB 1334
SUBJECT: Repeals Statute of Limitations for Child Support Orders
TYPE: # Corrected
DATE: February 17, 2000

Corrected to reflect a change in funds to which support collections will be deposited.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
# Child Support Enforcement Collection	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds*	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown

* Unknown revenue not expected to exceed \$100,000 annually.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Federal	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds*	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown

* Unknown revenue not expected to exceed \$100,000 annually.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
 This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume that this proposal will not fiscally affect their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services - Division of Child Support Enforcement (DCSE)** stated that they believe enactment of this proposal would result in only a minimal amount of additional child support collections for the first few years after the effective date of the proposal. This is because the payments being affected are old child support judgements upon which collections have not been made and the likelihood of collections being made on these cases is minimal. As these debts accumulate over the years, greater payments will be received upon them as obligors are subsequently found or obligors obtain assets or funds upon which collections can be made for these older obligations. The DCSE responded that this proposal will have no impact on their division.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services - Division of Data Processing (DDP)** assume that this proposal will not fiscally affect their division.

Oversight assumes that enactment of this proposal could result in increased collections of delinquent child support payments. Some of the collections would be payable to the state and federal government to offset the costs of providing public assistance to the custodial parent for cases where the non-custodial parent was not providing for the support of the child or children. # Oversight assumes the potential amount of collections that could be credited to the Child Support Enforcement Collection Fund and Federal Funds each year, based on enactment of this proposal, would be less than \$100,000 in total.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
---	---------------------	---------	---------

**# CHILD SUPPORT
ENFORCEMENT COLLECTION
FUND**

Revenue - Department of Social Services
- Division of Child Support Enforcement

Increased Collections From Past Due
Accounts No longer Exempted From
Statute of Limitations*

Unknown

Unknown

Unknown

L.R. NO. 2332-01
BILL NO. HB 1334
PAGE 3 OF 3
February 17, 2000

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
---	---------------------	---------	---------

FEDERAL FUND

Revenue - Department of Social Services
- Division of Child Support Enforcement
Increased Collections From Past Due
Accounts No longer Exempted From
Statute of Limitations*

<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
----------------	----------------	----------------

* **Unknown revenue not expected to exceed \$100,000 annually.**

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
---	---------------------	---------	---------

\$0	\$0	\$0
-----	-----	-----

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal eliminates the 10-year statute of limitations on child support obligations.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Social Services



Jeanne Jarrett, CPA
Director
February 17, 2000