

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. NO.: 4306-01
BILL NO.: HB 1924
SUBJECT: Department of Conservation; Wild elk
TYPE: Original
DATE: February 24, 2000

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Conservation Commission Fund	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
None	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General** assume their agency would not be fiscally impacted by this proposed legislation.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** (CTS) noted that they are not aware of any significant numbers of wild elk at the present time. They also noted that there are some elk being raised as livestock. Additionally, CTS noted that they would expect one or more test cases to determine the parameters of the law. Officials assume that if a significant number of civil claims are filed against the Conservation Commission, there would be a corresponding impact on the workload and cost of the judiciary.

Officials from the **Department of Conservation** (MDC) assume that under this proposed legislation their agency would be fiscally impacted if wild elk enter Missouri because MDC would be financially responsible for wild elk in the state. Officials noted that they are not aware of any wild elk in Missouri. However, they noted that the extent of future fiscal impact is unknown.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
---	---------------------	---------	---------

**CONSERVATION COMMISSION
FUND**

<u>Cost - Department of Conservation</u> Expense and Equipment	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
---	------------------	------------------	------------------

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
---	---------------------	---------	---------

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
--	------------	------------	------------

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. NO. 4306-01
BILL NO. HB 1924
PAGE 3 OF 3
February 24, 2000

DESCRIPTION

This proposal makes the Department of Conservation the owner of wild elk and financially responsible for any damage to crops, livestock, fencing, motor vehicles, and other private property caused by wild elk. The proposal also allows landowners and lessors of land to kill wild elk that have damaged their property.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Conservation
Office of the Attorney General
Office of State Courts Administrator



Jeanne Jarrett, CPA
Director
February 24, 2000