

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. NO.: 4321-01
BILL NO.: HB 1955
SUBJECT: Retirement: St. Louis Police
TYPE: Original
DATE: February 22, 2000

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
None	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
None	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement** have reviewed this proposal and have determined that it does not represent a “substantial proposed change” in future plan benefits as defined in section 105.660(5), RSMo. Therefore, an actuarial cost statement is not required.

Officials of the **Office of Administration** assume any fiscal impact would be determined by the St. Louis Police Retirement System.

St. Louis Police Retirement System officials assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact to their system, as none of the provisions represent benefit improvements.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal extensively revises the provisions of the St. Louis Police Retirement System. Most of the changes are technical, making terminology consistent, updating out-of-date references, and eliminating obsolete provisions. Among its more substantive changes are the following:

- (1) Clarifying the definition of "earnable compensation," adding specifics about included and excluded elements;

DESCRIPTION (continued)

- (2) Authorizing the appointment of a fourth physician to the medical board to act as an administrator and, with the retirement board's consent, to appoint the members of the medical board;
- (3) Clarifying how the benefit cap is applied;
- (4) For the surviving spouse of a deceased member, including one who is receiving special consultant benefits, stipulating that the benefits run until the earlier of the spouse's death or remarriage and specifying that benefits for the surviving spouse of a member who died in service after age 55 or 20 years of service will be increased in the same manner as the service retirement allowance of a retired member;
- (5) Prohibiting dependent benefits for a disabled child over 18 who lives in a public-supported institution;
- (6) Clarifying the effect of reemployment on the distribution of a member's individual account;
- (7) Deleting out-of-date provisions relating to the technical handling of certain funds within the system; and
- (8) Requiring the board to honor a member's obligation to pay child support or maintenance when ordered by the court.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement
Office of Administration
St. Louis Police Retirement System



Jeanne Jarrett, CPA
Director
February 22, 2000